

Foreknowledge of World Trade 7's Collapse



**THE CHALLENGE TO THE OFFICIAL
HYPOTHESIS**

The Twin Towers and Building Seven




WTC 7



WTC 1



WTC 2



WTC 7 and the Twin Towers



47 stories

610 ft. tall

WTC 7 after North Tower collapse




WTC 7 on fire



WTC 7 Collapses



The NIST Report, 2008



NIST NCSTAR 1A
Federal Building and Fire Safety Investigation
of the World Trade Center Disaster

Final Report on the **Collapse of** **World Trade Center Building 7**

NIST
National Institute of
Standards and Technology
U.S. Department of Commerce

BBC Reports WTC 7's Collapse





BBC Reports WTC 7's Collapse



WTC 7



“This was the first known instance of the total collapse of a tall building primarily due to fires.”

NIST, Final Report, xxxi



Foreknowledge:

“knowledge of a thing before it happens or exists”

3 Criteria for Foreknowledge



- Certainty
- Confirmation
- Detail

CNN Reports WTC 7's Collapse



Still Standing!
1 hr., 10 min. before collapse



WTC 7:
30 min. before collapse



WTC 7:
4.5 min. before collapse



WTC 7:
1.5 min. before collapse



WTC 7:
1 min. after collapse



NIST Final Report: Foreknowledge



“The emergency responders quickly recognized that WTC 7 had been damaged by the collapse of WTC 1...

As early as 11:30 a.m., FDNY recognized that there was no water coming out of the hydrant system to fight the fires that were visible. With the collapses of the towers fresh in their minds, there was concern that WTC 7 too might collapse...”

NIST report 1A, 16

Indira Singh



Lieutenant David Rastuccio



Larry Silverstein



Barry Jennings



Conclusions



- There was widespread foreknowledge of WTC 7's collapse.
- This foreknowledge is incompatible with NIST's collapse hypothesis.
- Therefore NIST's hypothesis is wrong.
- The controlled demolition hypothesis is compatible with the evidence of foreknowledge.
- There is also witness evidence that supports the controlled demolition hypothesis.